

U90 MAR 01 MTUS

**DISTRIBUTION AND STATUS OF THE  
HARLEQUIN DUCK, Histrionicus histrionicus  
ON THE GALLATIN NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA**

Darrell Markum

Montana Natural Heritage Program  
1515 East Sixth Avenue  
Helena, Montana 59620

for the

Gallatin National Forest  
Bozeman, Montana

September 17, 1990

## SUMMARY

Harlequin duck surveys were conducted on 12 streams in the Gallatin National Forest and two streams in the Custer National Forest during the period May - August, 1990. Most stream sections were surveyed at least twice, once during the early part of the season and a second time later in the season. Survey sections were selected by size and gradient. Harlequin ducks were observed only on a short section of the Boulder River on three separate days. A total of 18 harlequins were seen but these probably represent only 15 individual ducks as some probably were seen more than once. The breakdown of observations is as follows: 19 May - one pair; 6 June - five adult males, one pair, and one adult female; 23 August - eight individuals of undetermined sex and age. Behavioral observations included swimming, loafing, preening, feeding, and mating. The number of harlequin observations was too small to make meaningful comparisons or predictions of habitat use patterns. The observations that were made compare favorably with results obtained

## SUMMARY

Harlequin duck surveys were conducted on 12 streams in the Gallatin National Forest and two streams in the Custer National Forest during the period May - August, 1990. Most stream sections were surveyed at least twice, once during the early part of the season and a second time later in the season. Survey sections were selected by size and gradient. Harlequin ducks were observed only on a short section of the Boulder River on three separate days. A total of 18 harlequins were seen but these probably represent only 15 individual ducks as some probably were seen more than once. The breakdown of observations is as follows: 19 May - one pair; 6 June - five adult males, one pair, and one adult female; 23 August - eight individuals of undetermined sex and age. Behavioral observations included swimming, loafing, preening, feeding, and mating. The number of harlequin observations was too small to make meaningful comparisons or predictions of habitat use patterns. The observations that were made compare favorably with results obtained from a study in Grand Teton National Park. In general, harlequins appear to prefer streams of low gradient (<3 degrees) with gently sloping banks (<45 degrees) which have a dense cover of perennial shrubs. Several streams in the study area appeared to offer suitable habitat that was not being used by harlequins. However, because of their size, color (especially hens and young), and habitat preference it is difficult to spot harlequins in the field. Although the survey effort was very thorough it is possible that some harlequins may have been overlooked on some streams. If other populations do exist in this area they are likely to be small and transitory. Additional survey work in this area will be dependent on the needs of management agencies. Further study on harlequin duck biology and habitat needs would be more profitable in areas with higher harlequin duck densities.

## INTRODUCTION

The harlequin duck (Histrionicus histrionicus) is a member of the tribe Mergini (sea ducks) and as such is taxonomically related to the eiders (Somateria sp. and Polysticta stelleri), scoters (Melanitta), and oldsquaw (Clangula hyemalis) (Johnsgard 1978). However, because of their preference for isolated, swift-flowing mountain streams as breeding habitat, harlequin ducks appear to be more similar ecologically to the torrent duck (Merganetta armata) of South America and the blue duck (Hymenolaimus malachorhynchos) of New Zealand (Bengston 1966).

The distribution of the harlequin duck is divided into distinct eastern and western populations (Figure 1) (Bellrose 1980). The relatively small eastern population extends across northeastern North America, Greenland, and Labrador, reaching its highest density in Iceland (Bengston 1966). The western population is found near the west coast of North America from northern California to Alaska, with the greatest numbers occurring in the Aleutian Islands; a small number also may be found along the coast of the Siberian peninsula (Bellrose 1980).

Throughout their range harlequin ducks tend to winter on rocky wave-pounded ocean coasts and breed on isolated swift-flowing mountain streams (Bengston 1966, Johnsgard 1978). The majority of the western population winters on the Aleutian Island National Wildlife Refuge where up to one million harlequins may be found (Bellrose 1980). Further south, Christmas bird counts have found only 500 birds along the coast of British Columbia and

INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

only 25-50 birds along the coasts of Washington and Oregon; there also are scattered reports of harlequins wintering along the coast of northern California (Bellrose 1980). Occasionally harlequins are sighted wintering inland in the Rocky Mountains but these reports are rare (see Wallen 1987).

The breeding range of the western population extends inland, following the Cascade and Sierra ranges south into northern California, and the Rocky Mountain range west and south, across northern Idaho and western Montana, into the northwestern corner of Wyoming (Bellrose 1980). Considered rare in Idaho (Burleigh 1972), recent surveys have found breeding harlequins in several drainages in the Idaho panhandle north of the Lochsa River (Wallen and Groves 1988, 1989). In Montana, harlequins breed in small numbers along the Rocky Mountain chain from Glacier National Park (GNP) (Kuchel 1977) to Yellowstone National Park (YNP) (T. McEneaney, pers. obs.) and scattered points in between (D. Genter, pers. obs.). Breeding harlequins also are known from Grand Teton National Park (GTNP), Wyoming (Wallen 1987).

Most detailed knowledge of harlequin duck breeding biology has come from studies in Iceland (Bengston 1966, 1972). In North America, harlequin duck breeding chronology and breeding habitat have been described for Prince William Sound, Alaska (Dzinbal 1982), Glacier National Park (Kuchel 1977), and Grand Teton National Park (Wallen 1987).

Concerning breeding chronology, pair formation usually occurs on the wintering grounds (Bellrose 1980). In GTNP, Wallen

(1987) found that harlequin ducks began to arrive on the breeding grounds during the first week of May. Pairs commonly were observed on the streams until the onset of incubation in late June or the first week of July. At this time drakes and unpaired hens left for the coast while nesting hens remained secluded on the nest. Broods did not begin to appear on the streams until mid-July or early August. Hens and broods remained on the breeding streams until mid-September after which, they also departed for the coast. This chronology is consistent with the observations of Kuchel (1977) and Dzinbal (1982).

Wallen (1987) characterized harlequin duck breeding habitat in GTNP as low gradient ( $<3$  degrees) mountain streams with meandering channels, dense perennial shrubs on the banks, high water quality, and little or no human disturbance. He also found that harlequins appeared to prefer stream sections that had  $>3$  midstream loafing sites per 10 m and avoided sections where both banks approached the stream at  $>45$  degree angle (i.e. bedrock canyons).

In Iceland, harlequin ducks tended to nest in dense shrubs on river islands or in the cracks and crevices formed by large lava blocks along stream banks, again under dense shrubs (Bengston 1966, 1972). No nest sites have been found during studies in North America but probable nesting habitat has been inferred from observations of recently hatched broods. Kuchel (1977) considered dense shrubs surrounding beaver ponds or abandoned meanders to provide harlequin nesting habitat in GNP.

Similar habitat did not occur along breeding streams in GTNP so Wallen (1987) considered that dense shrubs along the lowest gradient (<1 degree) sections of streams where breeding pairs had been observed was the most likely nesting habitat in that area.

## OBJECTIVES

Proper management of a wildlife species is dependent on knowledge of the distribution and abundance of that species, along with its habitat needs and behavioral characteristics. Therefore, the objectives of this survey effort were first , to document the distribution and abundance of harlequin ducks on the Gallatin National Forest, and second, to record the habitat characteristics of stream sections used by harlequin ducks and record observations of harlequin duck behavior.

## STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Harlequin duck surveys were conducted on sections of 12 streams on the Gallatin National Forest and two streams on the Custer National Forest (Figure 2). Streams were selected based on size (>10 m in width) and gradient (<3 degrees), following the criteria used by Wallen and Groves (1988, 1989) in northern Idaho.

Surveys were conducted from May to August with most stream sections surveyed at least once during May or June and again



INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE

during July or August (Table 1). Because this survey was not formally initiated until the first week of June, little work was accomplished during May, which may be the best time for observing pairs (Wallen and Groves 1988, 1989; Cassirer 1989). No surveys were conducted during the first half of July because of the low probability of observing harlequins during this time.

EXCEPT AS NOTED BELOW, SURVEYS WERE CONDUCTED BY WALKING UPSTREAM AS CLOSE TO THE SHORE AS POSSIBLE AND SCANNING BOTH UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM WITH BINOCULARS. PORTIONS OF THE GALLATIN RIVER FROM SQUAW CREEK TO BIG SKY, WHERE THE RIVER IS EASILY OBSERVED FROM THE ROAD, WERE SURVEYED BY BICYCLE, WITH FREQUENT STOPS TO SCAN WITH BINOCULARS; THE GALLATIN RIVER FROM BIG SKY TO TAYLOR CREEK WAS SURVEYED BY CANOE. PORTIONS OF TAYLOR CREEK, MILL CREEK, AND THE BOULDER RIVER (19 MAY ONLY) WERE CHECKED BY CAR, AGAIN WITH FREQUENT STOPS TO SCAN WITH BINOCULARS. INFORMATIONAL POSTERS ABOUT HARLEQUIN DUCKS WERE PLACED AT FOREST SERVICE CAMPGROUNDS IN THE SURVEY AREA BY PERSONNEL OF GALLATIN NATIONAL FOREST. POSTERS ALSO WERE HANDED OUT TO RAFTING/FISHING OUTFITTERS ON THE GALLATIN RIVER.

STREAM LENGTHS AND/OR GRADIENTS WERE DETERMINED FROM USGS TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS. GENERAL HABITAT CONDITIONS WERE DESCRIBED QUALITATIVELY FOR LOCATIONS WHERE HARLEQUIN DUCKS WERE OBSERVED AND GENERALLY FOLLOWED THE CHARACTERISTICS USED BY WALLEN (1987). THESE CHARACTERISTICS INCLUDE BANK VEGETATION (CONIFEROUS FOREST, SHRUBLAND, MEADOW, OPEN), BANK SLOPE ( $\leq 45$  DEGREES), CHANNEL TYPE (MEANDERING, BRAIDED, STRAIGHT, BEDROCK CANYON), STREAM

TABLE 1. HARLEQUIN DUCK SURVEY ROUTES AND DATES, GALLATIN AND CUSTER NATIONAL FORESTS. MAY - AUGUST 1990.

| STREAM         | DATES  | START |      |     |       | END  |      |     |       |
|----------------|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|------|------|-----|-------|
| ✓ BEAVER       | 21 JUN | T11S  | R3E  | S21 | N1/2  | T10S | R3E  | S33 | N1/2  |
|                | 8 AUG  | T11S  | R3E  | S21 | S1/2  | T10S | R3E  | S33 | S1/2  |
| ✓ BOULDER      | 19 MAY | T3S   | R12E | S26 | W1/2  | T6S  | R12E | S21 | SW1/4 |
|                | 6 JUN  | T4S   | R12E | S15 | NE1/4 | T4S  | R12E | S36 | SW1/4 |
|                |        | T6S   | R12E | S4  | SE1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S21 | SW1/4 |
|                | 16 JUL | T6S   | R12E | S4  | NE1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S21 | SW1/4 |
|                | 7 AUG  | T4S   | R12E | S15 | NE1/4 | T4S  | R12E | S36 | SW1/4 |
|                | 13 AUG | T5S   | R12E | S35 | SW1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S4  | NE1/4 |
|                | 23 AUG | T4S   | R12E | S36 | SW1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S21 | N1/2  |
| ✓ EAST BOULDER | 19 MAY | T3S   | R13E | S29 | N1/2  | T3S  | R13E | S32 | SE1/4 |
|                |        | T4S   | R13E | S2  | W1/2  | T4S  | R13E | S14 | S1/2  |
|                | 25 JUL | T4S   | R13E | S4  | NE1/4 | T4S  | R13E | S14 | S1/2  |
|                | 13 AUG | T3S   | R13E | S29 | N1/2  | T4S  | R13E | S4  | NE1/4 |
| ✓ WEST BOULDER | 28 MAY | T3S   | R11E | S25 | N1/2  | T4S  | R11E | S16 | SE1/4 |
|                | 2 AUG  | T3S   | R11E | S36 | NW1/4 | T4S  | R11E | S28 | SW1/4 |
| ✓ CABIN        | 21 JUN | T11S  | R3E  | S15 | S1/2  | T11S | R3E  | S11 | S1/2  |
|                | 8 AUG  | T11S  | R3E  | S15 | S1/2  | T11S | R3E  | S11 | S1/2  |
| ✓ GALLATIN     | 23 MAY | T4S   | R3E  | S29 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R4E  | S15 | NW1/4 |
|                | 27 JUL | T4S   | R4E  | S29 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R4E  | S33 | SE1/4 |
|                | 30 JUL | T5S   | R4E  | S33 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R4E  | S25 | S1/2  |
|                | 1 AUG  | T6S   | R4E  | S13 | NW1/4 | T6S  | R4E  | S32 | SE1/4 |
|                | 21 AUG | T9S   | R4E  | S2  | SW1/4 | T6S  | R4E  | S32 | SE1/4 |
| ✓ GRAYLING     | 22 JUN | T12S  | R5E  | S10 | W1/2  | T12S | R5E  | S9  | SW1/4 |
|                | 9 AUG  | T12S  | R5E  | S10 | E1/2  | T12S | R5E  | S9  | SW1/4 |
| ✓ HYALITE      | 16 JUN | T3S   | R6E  | S32 | SW1/4 | T4S  | R6E  | S9  | NW1/4 |
|                | 19 JUN | T4S   | R6E  | S9  | NW1/4 | T4S  | R6E  | S15 | W1/2  |
| ✓ MADISON      | 8 AUG  | T11S  | R3E  | S22 | NE1/4 | T11S | R3E  | S22 | SW1/4 |
| ✓ MILL         | 21 MAY | T5S   | R9E  | S32 | SE1/4 | T6S  | R10E | S33 | W1/2  |
|                | 14 AUG | T5S   | R9E  | S32 | SE1/4 | T6S  | R10E | S19 | SW1/4 |
| ✓ WEST ROSEBUD | 14 JUN | T6S   | R17E | S33 | NW1/4 | T7S  | R16E | S2  | SW1/4 |
| ✓ SQUAW        | 23 MAY | T5S   | R4E  | S1  | NE1/4 | T5S  | R5E  | S6  | W1/2  |
|                | 27 JUL | T4S   | R4E  | S33 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R5E  | S6  | W1/2  |

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

| STREAM     | DATES  | START |      |     |       | END |      |     |       |
|------------|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|------|-----|-------|
| STILLWATER | 12 JUN | T5S   | R15E | S21 | NE1/4 | T5S | R15E | S32 | SW1/4 |
|            | 13 JUN | T5S   | R15E | S32 | SW1/4 | T6S | R14E | S35 | SW1/4 |
|            | 29 AUG | T5S   | R15E | S32 | SW1/4 | T6S | R14E | S24 | SW1/4 |
|            | 30 AUG | T6S   | R14E | S24 | SW1/4 | T7S | R14E | S10 | SW1/4 |
| ✓ TAYLOR   | 9 AUG  | T9S   | R4E  | S2  | SW1/4 | T9S | R4E  | S7  | SE1/4 |

GRADIENT, AND AVAILABILITY OF MIDSTREAM LOAFING SITES (0, 1-3, >3/10 M). STREAM FLOW DATA FOR THE BOULDER RIVER DRAINAGE WAS DETERMINED BY MEASUREMENTS TAKEN 5 SEPTEMBER USING THE METHODS OF ROBBINS AND CRAWFORD (1954). SEASONAL (1990) AND HISTORIC (1981-1990) STREAM FLOW DATA FOR THE BOULDER AND GALLATIN RIVERS WAS PROVIDED BY THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (HELENA, MT). GAUGING STATIONS ARE LOCATED ON THE BOULDER RIVER AT BIG TIMBER AND THE GALLATIN RIVER AT GALLATIN GATEWAY. THE BIG TIMBER STATION DOES NOT PROVIDE ACTUAL STREAM FLOW FOR THE BOULDER RIVER STUDY AREA BUT SHOULD ACCURATELY REFLECT RELATIVE SEASONAL AND YEARLY CHANGES THAT OCCUR AT THE STUDY SITE. THE GALLATIN GATEWAY STATION PROVIDE ACTUAL STREAM FLOW DATA FOR MUCH OF THE GALLATIN RIVER STUDY AREA.

## RESULTS

SECTIONS OF 14 STREAMS WERE SURVEYED IN GALLATIN AND CUSTER NATIONAL FORESTS DURING MAY - AUGUST 1990 (FIGURE 2). THESE STREAMS REPRESENT ALL THE POTENTIAL HARLEQUIN DUCK BREEDING HABITAT IN GALLATIN NATIONAL FOREST, BASED ON SIZE AND GRADIENT REQUIREMENTS. A DESCRIPTION OF SURVEY ROUTES IS GIVEN IN TABLE 1.

A TOTAL OF 18 HARLEQUIN DUCKS WERE OBSERVED ON THE BOULDER RIVER OVER THREE SEPARATE DAYS. THESE PROBABLY REPRESENT 15 INDIVIDUAL DUCKS. HARLEQUINS WERE NOT OBSERVED ON ANY OTHER STREAM. SOME SIGHTINGS WERE REPORTED IN RESPONSE TO THE POSTERS BUT THESE WERE NOT RELAYED TO THE FIELD INVESTIGATOR AND HAVE NOT BEEN VERIFIED.

#### HARLEQUIN DUCK OBSERVATIONS

19 MAY (6:00PM): ONE PAIR WAS OBSERVED ON THE Boulder River (T6S R12E S9) 0.4 miles (odometer reading) upstream from the Hilleary bridge (Figure 3). The pair initially was seen from the road and appeared to be resting in the relatively calm water near the west shore, about 40 m downstream from a set of shallow riffles. As we approached for a closer view the pair quickly moved upstream with the female in the lead. Both ducks maintained a low profile with the head held low to the water. However, both needed the assistance of their wings to negotiate the riffles. Once beyond the riffles the pair relaxed but continued to move upstream. They disappeared from view after about five minutes of observation. Habitat: Meandering channel with smooth moderate current below a small section of shallow riffles. Both banks sloped gradually into the water (<45 degrees) and were forested, with only a light shrub understory which grew to be moderately dense (i.e. screening the river from the road) later in the season. No loafing sites were available. Distance from the road varied from 5 to 30 m.

6 June (11:30am): Five adult males were observed on the **Boulder River** (T6S R12E S9) 0.5 miles (odometer reading) upstream from the Hilleary bridge (Figure 3). This group of drakes was seen floating downstream with the main current, which was separated from a calm backwater area by a gravel bar. The main current was strong enough in this stretch to raise standing waves approximately 0.5 m high. The ducks appeared to be doing nothing more than traveling downstream. They quickly disappeared from view. Habitat: A straight reach in a generally meandering channel with a strong current stacked up in short standing waves. Both banks sloped gradually to the water. The west bank was forested with an understory of moderately dense shrubs; the east bank was an open gravel bar which separated the main channel from a backwater area. There were no loafing sites in this stretch. Distance to the road was 30-40 m.

6 June (11:30am): One pair was observed on the **Boulder River** (T6S R12E S9) 0.5 miles (odometer reading) upstream from the Hilleary Bridge (Figure 3). The pair was first seen in a backwater area along the east bank and was observed for 20 minutes. During the first 10 minutes the pair casually swam around in small circles, feeding by dipping the bill into the water, the hen more often than the drake. During this period the drake would approach the hen and peck at the back of her neck. Over the last 3-4 minutes of this time period the frequency and intensity of these pecks quickly increased, culminating with mounting which lasted 4 seconds. The hen then escaped but was

rapidly pursued by the drake. Within a matter of a few seconds their behavior calmed and the pair swam out to the gravel bar. They spent the next 10 minutes walking about along the shore and poking among the rocks with their bills, occasionally stopping to preen for a few seconds. They were still involved in this behavior when I left to continue the survey. This pair was seen about two hours later (2:00pm) resting on the same gravel bar. Habitat: Backwater area formed by a log jam and separated from the main channel by a gravel bar (see description above). The east bank sloped gently to the water and was forested, with an understory of dense shrubs. Because of the high water at this time of year, many of the bank shrubs were flooded, providing cover for the harlequins on the water. Midstream loafing sites consisted of a few partially submerged logs (1-3/10 m) and the large gravel bar. Distance from the road was 30-40 m.

6 June (4:00pm): A single adult hen was observed on the **Boulder River** (T6S R12E S3) about 400 m downstream from Fourmile Creek. The hen initially was sighted on a rock on the east bank of the river. She spent about 1 minute preening then entered the water and was observed feeding by diving for a couple minutes more before disappearing from view. Habitat: Meandering channel with a strong current broken into sections of whitewater by large subsurface rocks. The hen used an eddy along the east bank which was formed by a large boulder which jutted out into the current. The east bank was cut out and approached the water very steeply. Adjacent to the water it was composed of large rocks and



driftwood and above it was primarily bare soil. The west bank sloped gently to the water and was forested, with a dense understory of shrubs which made viewing difficult. No midstream loafing sites were present because of the high water level but there were abundant loafing sites along the east bank. Distance from the road was >200 m but a well-worn trail followed the west bank and an undeveloped campground was located on the west bank about 100 m upstream.

23 August (2:00pm): A group of 8 harlequins of undetermined age and sex was observed on the **Boulder River** (T6S R12E S12) about 500 m downstream from the Alpine Lodge (Figure 3). A short time previous to this observation a small group of harlequins was seen flying upstream from a point 1-2 km downstream from where the group of 8 was observed. It is believed that these were two observations of the same group. This group was observed for about 15 minutes as they slowly worked their way downstream, feeding along the way by bill dipping, head dipping, tipping-up, and diving. The type of feeding behavior appeared to be related to water depth. Individuals of the group occasionally would walk out on shore and preen for a few seconds before returning to the group. Group members never were separated by more than a total of 15 m. The group traveled about 100 m downstream before disappearing from view. Habitat: Meandering stream channel with a relatively gentle current broken by a short stretch of shallow riffles. Both banks sloped gently to the water and were forested. Shrub cover was moderately dense on both banks. There were no

INSERT FIGURE 3 HERE

midstream loafing sites but short gravel bars did occur at intervals along both banks. Distance from the road was about 200 m but a well-worn trail followed the east bank. This trail was screened from the river by the moderately dense growth of shrubs.

#### Boulder River Survey Area

The Boulder River was surveyed from Falls Creek campground to Hicks Park campground. Total distance covered was 26.06 km and elevation ranged from 1585 m (5200 ft) to 1932 m (6340 ft). This equated to a mean gradient of 1.3% or 0.6 degrees. The specific section of the river from which all harlequin duck observations came (Figure 3) ran from approximately 400 m downstream of Fourmile Creek to 300 m upstream of Clear Creek. The length of this section was 5.14 km and the elevation ranged from 1865 m (6120 ft) to 1914 m (6280 ft), for a mean gradient of 0.9% or 0.4 degrees. The channel meandered the entire length of the survey area with the exception of Hell's Canyon, which is a straight, narrow, bedrock canyon. This was the only section of the Boulder River survey in which both banks approached the water at >45 degrees angle. Flow volume, measured on 5 September, was 24.95 cms (81.86 cfs) which was the lowest flow during the survey period. Flow measurements were taken in the harlequin duck observation area (Figure 3). Stream flow data recored at the USGS gauging station in Big Timber is included in Table 2. Similar data from the Gallatin River are presented for comparison.

Historic stream flow values over the past 10 years also are presented for both rivers (Table 3). Water quality as determined by clarity remained high throughout the survey period although the water was slightly cloudy during the highest flows in May.

Table 2. Monthly average, maximum, and minimum stream discharge (cfs) for the Boulder and Gallatin rivers, May-Sept 1990 (USGS, Helena, MT).

|      |      | Boulder | Gallatin |
|------|------|---------|----------|
| May  | mean | 723     | 1498     |
|      | min  | 369     | 866      |
|      | max  | 1960    | 2740     |
| June | mean | 2206    | 2904     |
|      | min  | 958     | 1980     |
|      | max  | 4220    | 4800     |
| July | mean | 1227    | 1250     |
|      | min  | 327     | 730      |
|      | max  | 3490    | 2500     |
| Aug  | mean | 206     | 577      |
|      | min  | 119     | 471      |
|      | max  | 316     | 714      |
| Sept | mean | 119     | 418      |
|      | min  | 75      | 378      |
|      | max  | 194     | 484      |

#### Other Wildlife Observations

Dippers (Dolichonyx oryzivorus) were common on all streams with the exception of Taylor Creek. Common mergansers (Mergus merganser) were observed on the East Boulder, West Boulder, Stillwater, and Gallatin Rivers. No more than three common mergansers were seen on any one survey day with the exception of 21 August when a group of 25 and another group of 10 flightless

common mergansers were seen on the Gallatin River between Big Sky and Taylor Creek. Mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) and teal (Anas spp.) were seen in small numbers in quiet pools and backwater areas along the Boulder, West Boulder, and Stillwater rivers. Canada geese (Branta canadensis) were observed along calm sections of the West Boulder and Stillwater rivers.

---

Table 3. Average, minimum, and maximum stream discharge for the Boulder and Gallatin rivers for 1981-1990 (USGS, Helena, MT).

---

| year | mean <sup>a</sup> | Boulder<br>max(mo) <sup>b</sup> | min(mo) <sup>c</sup> | mean <sup>a</sup> | Gallatin<br>max(mo) <sup>b</sup> | min(mo) <sup>c</sup> |
|------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1981 | 532               | 2722(jun)                       | 76(sep)              | 860               | 3211(jun)                        | 466(sep)             |
| 1982 | 626               | 2916(jun)                       | 288(sep)             | *                 | *                                | *                    |
| 1983 | 543               | 2419(jun)                       | 221(sep)             | *                 | *                                | *                    |
| 1984 | 622               | 2628(jun)                       | 212(sep)             | *                 | *                                | *                    |
| 1985 | 383               | 1441(jun)                       | 172(aug)             | 717               | 2048(may)                        | 506(sep)             |
| 1986 | 471               | 2692(jun)                       | 110(aug)             | 884               | 3691(jun)                        | 522(sep)             |
| 1987 | 330               | 1152(may)                       | 111(sep)             | 587               | 1565(may)                        | 426(sep)             |
| 1988 | 393               | 2020(jun)                       | 28(sep)              | 655               | 2191(may)                        | 364(sep)             |
| 1989 | 455               | 2366(jun)                       | 111(sep)             | 672               | 2477(jun)                        | 401(sep)             |
| 1990 | 474               | 2206(jun)                       | 119(sep)             | 785               | 2904(jun)                        | 418(sep)             |

---

a- annual mean (all days), b- highest monthly mean for period May - Sept., c- lowest monthly mean for period May - Sept., \* - no data.

## DISCUSSION

The number of harlequin duck observations was too small to make meaningful comparisons or predictions of habitat use patterns. However, the observations that were made compare favorably with the results obtained from a study in GTNP (Wallen 1987). For example, no observations were made in locations where both stream banks approached the water at >45 degree angle or

where bank vegetation was open. The Boulder River also had a mean gradient  $<3$  degrees, which Wallen (1987) considered a requirement of harlequin breeding habitat. However, all the streams surveyed during this study had a mean gradient in this range. Finally, the harlequin duck observation area (Figure 3) appeared to have suitable nesting habitat in terms of backwater areas and beaver ponds with dense perennial shrubs around the edges. However, these areas usually were within a few meters of a heavily used road. Public use along the river appeared to be moderate except in close proximity to the road and campgrounds. Wallen (1987) found that harlequin ducks, especially hens with broods, tended to avoid areas with much human activity.

The only streams surveyed that did not appear to offer suitable harlequin duck habitat were Mill, Taylor, Cabin, and Hyalite creeks. Mill Creek paralleled the road for most of its length, which forced the creek into a narrow rocky channel with steep banks. The only area that appeared suitable in terms of channel and gradient had open banks with little vegetation. Taylor Creek was at the lower limit of size and the upper limit of gradient and had little or no vegetation along the banks. This was the only stream surveyed where dippers were not observed. Both Cabin and Hyalite creeks probably were too small and steep for harlequin ducks, having channels confined to narrow canyons.

The West Boulder and Stillwater rivers were very similar in physiogamy with the exception of the Stillwater River's much greater size. Both had sections of calm open water flowing

through grass/sedge meadows interspersed with sections with narrow canyons and steep gradients. Suitable habitat did appear to occur but probably is limited in extent. The lower section of Beaver Creek appeared to provide all the features of suitable habitat but the upper half had wide gravel/rock banks which provided no cover along the stream.

The Gallatin River and West Rosebud Creek appeared to offer suitable habitat throughout much of their length but both suffered from heavy human use, particularly fishermen. This may have discouraged harlequins from using these area. Squaw Creek also provided good habitat but may have been on the small side and also may have suffered some from high levels of human activity.

The East Boulder River was the best candidate for harlequin duck observations of all streams surveyed. It had all the habitat features identified by Wallen (1987) and was the only stream surveyed that did not have a road or trail running along its length. Grayling Creek also appeared to meet all the qualifications except possibly that of size.

## CONCLUSION

Because of their size, color (especially hens and young), and habitat preference, harlequin ducks are difficult to spot in the wild. The possibility of overlooking individuals during surveys must be considered to be quite high. Although I believe

that the survey effort as presented in this report was very thorough, this report does not provide positive proof for the absence of harlequin ducks in the streams examined. However, if populations exist on streams beside the Boulder River they are likely to be very small and transitory.

The Stillwater and Boulder drainages may be at the eastern edge of harlequin range in Montana. Because so little is known of the habitat requirements and limits of breeding harlequin ducks it is difficult to say with certainty that suitable habitat does exist in these areas in any great amount. Determining the habitat requirements of harlequin ducks continues to be the most pressing need for proper management of this species on its breeding grounds.

Additional survey work in this area will be dependent on the informational needs of the management agencies. Increasing human activity along streams and the potential for water quality degradation from a variety of sources are probably the main impacts facing harlequin duck habitat in southwestern Montana. Additional study on the biology and habitat needs of harlequin ducks would be more fruitful in areas with higher harlequin densities.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Bellrose, F.C. 1980. Ducks, geese, and swans of North America. Stackpole Books, Harrisburg, PA. 540pp.
- Bengston, S.A. 1966. Field studies of the harlequin duck in Iceland. Wildfowl Trust Ann. Rep. 17:79-94.



- Bengston, S.A. 1972. Breeding ecology of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) in Iceland. *Ornis Scand.* 3:1-19.
- Burleigh, T.D. 1972. Birds of Idaho. Caxton Printers, Ltd., Caldwell, ID.
- Cassirer, E.F. 1989. Distribution and status of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) on the Nez Perce National Forest, Idaho. Idaho Dep. Fish and Game, Boise. 18pp.
- Dzinbal, K.A. 1982. Ecology of harlequin ducks in Prince William Sound, Alaska, during summer. M.S. Thesis, Oregon St. Univ., Corvallis. 89pp.
- Johnsgard, P.A. 1978. Ducks, geese, and swans of the world. Univ. Nebraska Press, Lincoln. 404pp.
- Kuchel, C.R. 1977. Some aspects of the behavior and ecology of harlequin ducks breeding in Glacier National Park, Montana. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Montana, Missoula. 147pp.
- Robins, C.R. and R.W. Crawford. 1954. A short accurate method for estimating the volume of stream flow. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 18:366-369.
- Wallen, R.L. 1987. Habitat utilization of harlequin ducks in Grand teton National Park. M.S. Thesis, Montana St. Univ., Bozeman. 67pp.
- Wallen, R.L. and C.R. Groves. 1988. Status and distribution of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) in northern Idaho. Idaho Dep. Fish and Game, Boise. 34pp.
- Wallen, R.L. and C.R. Groves. 1989. Distribution, breeding biology, and nesting habitat of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) in northern Idaho. Idaho Dep. Fish and Game, Boise. 39pp.

*Preliminary Report on the*  
DISTRIBUTION AND STATUS OF THE HARLEQUIN DUCK  
ON THE GALLATIN NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA

*(Historic)  
Historic*

Montana Natural Heritage Program  
~~State Library Building~~  
1515 E. Sixth Avenue  
Helena, MT 59620

*Prepared for  
Gallatin National Forest  
Bozeman MT*

~~Prepared by~~  
Darrell Markum  
209 S. Tracy  
Bozeman, MT 59715

September 17, 1990

## SUMMARY

Harlequin duck surveys were conducted on 12 streams in the Gallatin National Forest and two streams in the Custer National Forest during the period May - August, 1990. Most stream sections were surveyed at least twice, once during the early part of the season and a second time later in the season. Survey sections were selected by size and gradient. Harlequin ducks were observed only on a short section of the Boulder River on three separate days. A total of 18 harlequins were seen but these probably represent only 15 individual ducks as some probably were seen more than once. The breakdown of observations is as follows: 19 May - one pair; 6 June - five adult males, one pair, and one adult female; 23 August - eight individuals of undetermined sex and age. Behavioral observations included swimming, loafing, preening, feeding, and mating. The number of harlequin observations was too small to make meaningful comparisons or predictions of habitat use patterns. The observations that were made compare favorably with results obtained from a study in Grand Teton National Park. In general, harlequins appear to prefer streams of low gradient (<3 degrees) with gently sloping banks (<45 degrees) which have a dense cover of perennial shrubs. Several streams in the study area appeared to offer suitable habitat that was not being used by harlequins. However, because of their size, color (especially hens and young), and habitat preference it is difficult to spot harlequins in the field. Although the survey effort was very thorough it is possible that some harlequins may have been overlooked on some streams. If other populations do exist in this area they are likely to be small and transitory. Additional survey work in this area will be dependent on the needs of management agencies. Further study on harlequin duck biology and habitat needs would be more profitable in areas with higher harlequin duck densities.

## INTRODUCTION

The harlequin duck (Histrionicus histrionicus) is a member of the tribe Mergini (sea ducks) and as such is taxonomically related to the eiders (Somateria sp. and Polysticta stelleri), scoters (Melanitta), and oldsquaw (Clangula hyemalis) (Johnsgard 1978). However, because of their preference for isolated, swift-flowing mountain streams as breeding habitat, harlequin ducks appear to be more similar ecologically to the torrent duck (Merganetta armata) of South America and the blue duck (Hymenolaimus malachorhynchos) of New Zealand (Bengston 1966).

The distribution of the harlequin duck is divided into distinct eastern and western populations (Figure 1) (Bellrose 1980). The relatively small eastern population extends across northeastern North America, Greenland, and Labrador, reaching its highest density in Iceland (Bengston 1966). The western population is found near the west coast of North America from northern California to Alaska, with the greatest numbers occurring in the Aleutian Islands; a small number also may be found along the coast of the Siberian peninsula (Bellrose 1980).

Throughout their range harlequin ducks tend to winter on rocky wave-pounded ocean coasts and breed on isolated swift-flowing mountain streams (Bengston 1966, Johnsgard 1978). The majority of the western population winters on the Aleutian Island National Wildlife Refuge where up to one million harlequins may be found (Bellrose 1980). Further south, Christmas bird counts have found only 500 birds along the coast of British Columbia and




Figure 1. Harlequin duck distribution in North America. (from Bellrose 1980).

only 25-50 birds along the coasts of Washington and Oregon; there also are scattered reports of harlequins wintering along the coast of northern California (Bellrose 1980). Occasionally harlequins are sighted wintering inland in the Rocky Mountains but these reports are rare (see Wallen 1987).

The breeding range of the western population extends inland, following the Cascade and Sierra ranges south into northern California, and the Rocky Mountain range west and south, across northern Idaho and western Montana, into the northwestern corner of Wyoming (Bellrose 1980). Considered rare in Idaho (Burleigh 1972), recent surveys have found breeding harlequins in several drainages in the Idaho panhandle north of the Lochsa River (Wallen and Groves 1988, 1989). In Montana, harlequins breed in small numbers along the Rocky Mountain chain from Glacier National Park (GNP) (Kuchel 1977) to Yellowstone National Park (YNP) (T. McEneaney, pers. obs.) and scattered points in between (D. Genter, pers. obs.). Breeding harlequins also are known from Grand Teton National Park (GTNP), Wyoming (Wallen 1987).

Most detailed knowledge of harlequin duck breeding biology has come from studies in Iceland (Bengston 1966, 1972). In North America, harlequin duck breeding chronology and breeding habitat have been described for Prince William Sound, Alaska (Dzinbal 1982), Glacier National Park (Kuchel 1977), and Grand Teton National Park (Wallen 1987).

Concerning breeding chronology, pair formation usually occurs on the wintering grounds (Bellrose 1980). In GTNP, Wallen

(1987) found that harlequin ducks began to arrive on the breeding grounds during the first week of May. Pairs commonly were observed on the streams until the onset of incubation in late June or the first week of July. At this time drakes and unpaired hens left for the coast while nesting hens remained secluded on the nest. Broods did not begin to appear on the streams until mid-July or early August. Hens and broods remained on the breeding streams until mid-September after which, they also departed for the coast. This chronology is consistent with the observations of Kuchel (1977) and Uzinbal (1982).

Wallen (1987) characterized harlequin duck breeding habitat in GTNP as low gradient (<3 degrees) mountain streams with meandering channels, dense perennial shrubs on the banks, high water quality, and little or no human disturbance. He also found that harlequins appeared to prefer stream sections that had >3 midstream loafing sites per 10 m and avoided sections where both banks approached the stream at >45 degree angle (i.e. bedrock canyons).

In Iceland, harlequin ducks tended to nest in dense shrubs on river islands or in the cracks and crevices formed by large lava blocks along stream banks, again under dense shrubs (Bengston 1966, 1972). No nest sites have been found during studies in North America but probable nesting habitat has been inferred from observations of recently hatched broods. Kuchel (1977) considered dense shrubs surrounding beaver ponds or abandoned meanders to provide harlequin nesting habitat in GNP.

Similar habitat did not occur along breeding streams in GTNP so Wallen (1987) considered that dense shrubs along the lowest gradient (<1 degree) sections of streams where breeding pairs had been observed was the most likely nesting habitat in that area.

## OBJECTIVES

Proper management of a wildlife species is dependent on knowledge of the distribution and abundance of that species, along with its habitat needs and behavioral characteristics. Therefore, the objectives of this survey effort were first, to document the distribution and abundance of harlequin ducks on the Gallatin National Forest, and second, to record the habitat characteristics of stream sections used by harlequin ducks and record observations of harlequin duck behavior.

## STUDY AREA AND METHODS

Harlequin duck surveys were conducted on sections of 12 streams on the Gallatin National Forest and two streams on the Custer National Forest (Figure 2). Streams were selected based on size (>10 m in width) and gradient (<3 degrees), following the criteria used by Wallen and Groves (1983, 1989) in northern Idaho.

Surveys were conducted from May to August with most stream sections surveyed at least once during May or June and again






Figure 2. Harlequin duck survey areas (highlighted) on streams in Gallatin and Custer National Forests, Montana. May-Aug 1990.

during July or August (Table 1). Because this survey was not formally initiated until the first week of June, little work was accomplished during May, which may be the best time for observing pairs (Wallen and Groves 1988, 1989; Cassirer 1989). No surveys were conducted during the first half of July because of the low probability of observing harlequins during this time.

Except as noted below, surveys were conducted by walking upstream as close to the shore as possible and scanning both upstream and downstream with binoculars. Portions of the Gallatin River from Squaw Creek to Big Sky, where the river is easily observed from the road, were surveyed by bicycle, with frequent stops to scan with binoculars; the Gallatin River from Big Sky to Taylor Creek was surveyed by canoe. Portions of Taylor Creek, Mill Creek, and the Boulder River (19 May only) were checked by car, again with frequent stops to scan with binoculars. Informational posters about harlequin ducks were placed at Forest Service campgrounds in the survey area by personnel of Gallatin National Forest. Posters also were handed out to rafting/fishing outfitters on the Gallatin River.

Stream lengths and/or gradients were determined from USGS topographical maps. General habitat conditions were described qualitatively for locations where harlequin ducks were observed and generally followed the characteristics used by Wallen (1987). These characteristics include bank vegetation (coniferous forest, shrubland, meadow, open), bank slope ( $<45$  degrees), channel type (meandering, braided, straight, bedrock canyon), stream

Table 1. Harlequin duck survey routes and dates, Gallatin and Custer National Forests, May - August 1990.

| STREAM       | DATES  | START |      |     |       | END  |      |     |       |
|--------------|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|------|------|-----|-------|
| Beaver       | 21 Jun | T11S  | R3E  | S21 | N1/2  | T10S | R3E  | S33 | N1/2  |
|              | 2 Aug  | T11S  | R3E  | S21 | S1/2  | T10S | R3E  | S33 | S1/2  |
| Boulder      | 19 May | T3S   | R12E | S26 | W1/2  | T6S  | R12E | S21 | SW1/4 |
|              | 6 Jun  | T4S   | R12E | S15 | NE1/4 | T4S  | R12E | S36 | SW1/4 |
|              |        | T6S   | R12E | S4  | SE1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S21 | SW1/4 |
|              | 16 Jul | T6S   | R12E | S4  | NE1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S21 | SW1/4 |
|              | 7 Aug  | T4S   | R12E | S15 | NE1/4 | T4S  | R12E | S36 | SW1/4 |
|              | 13 Aug | T5S   | R12E | S35 | SW1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S4  | NE1/4 |
|              | 23 Aug | T4S   | R12E | S36 | SW1/4 | T6S  | R12E | S21 | N1/2  |
| East Boulder | 19 May | T3S   | R13E | S29 | N1/2  | T3S  | R13E | S32 | SE1/4 |
|              |        | T4S   | R13E | S2  | W1/2  | T4S  | R13E | S14 | S1/2  |
|              | 25 Jul | T4S   | R13E | S4  | NE1/4 | T4S  | R13E | S14 | S1/2  |
|              | 13 Aug | T3S   | R13E | S29 | N1/2  | T4S  | R13E | S4  | NE1/4 |
| West Boulder | 28 May | T3S   | R11E | S25 | N1/2  | T4S  | R11E | S16 | SE1/4 |
|              | 2 Aug  | T3S   | R11E | S36 | NW1/4 | T4S  | R11E | S28 | SW1/4 |
| Cabin        | 21 Jun | T11S  | R3E  | S15 | S1/2  | T11S | R3E  | S11 | S1/2  |
|              | 8 Aug  | T11S  | R3E  | S15 | S1/2  | T11S | R3E  | S11 | S1/2  |
| Gallatin     | 23 May | T4S   | R3E  | S29 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R4E  | S15 | NW1/4 |
|              | 27 Jul | T4S   | R4E  | S29 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R4E  | S33 | SE1/4 |
|              | 30 Jul | T5S   | R4E  | S33 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R4E  | S25 | S1/2  |
|              | 1 Aug  | T6S   | R4E  | S13 | NW1/4 | T6S  | R4E  | S32 | SE1/4 |
|              | 21 Aug | T9S   | R4E  | S2  | SW1/4 | T6S  | R4E  | S32 | SE1/4 |
| Grayling     | 22 Jun | T12S  | R5E  | S10 | W1/2  | T12S | R5E  | S9  | SW1/4 |
|              | 9 Aug  | T12S  | R5E  | S10 | E1/2  | T12S | R5E  | S9  | SW1/4 |
| Hyalite      | 16 Jun | T3S   | R6E  | S32 | SW1/4 | T4S  | R6E  | S9  | NW1/4 |
|              | 19 Jun | T4S   | R6E  | S9  | NW1/4 | T4S  | R6E  | S15 | W1/2  |
| Madison      | 8 Aug  | T11S  | R3E  | S22 | NE1/4 | T11S | R3E  | S22 | SW1/4 |
| Mill         | 21 May | T5S   | R9E  | S32 | SE1/4 | T6S  | R10E | S33 | W1/2  |
|              | 14 Aug | T5S   | R9E  | S32 | SE1/4 | T6S  | R10E | S19 | SW1/4 |
| West Rosebud | 14 Jun | T6S   | R17E | S33 | NW1/4 | T7S  | R16E | S2  | SW1/4 |
| Squaw        | 23 May | T5S   | R4E  | S1  | NE1/4 | T5S  | R5E  | S6  | W1/2  |
|              | 27 Jul | T4S   | R4E  | S33 | SE1/4 | T5S  | R5E  | S6  | W1/2  |

Table 1. (continued)

| STREAM     | DATES  | START |      |     |       | END |      |     |       |
|------------|--------|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|------|-----|-------|
| Stillwater | 12 Jun | T5S   | R15E | S21 | NE1/4 | T5S | R15E | S32 | SW1/4 |
|            | 13 Jun | T5S   | R15E | S32 | SW1/4 | T6S | R14E | S35 | SW1/4 |
|            | 29 Aug | T5S   | R15E | S32 | SW1/4 | T6S | R14E | S24 | SW1/4 |
|            | 30 Aug | T6S   | R14E | S24 | SW1/4 | T7S | R14E | S10 | SW1/4 |
| Taylor     | 9 Aug  | T9S   | R4E  | S2  | SW1/4 | T9S | R4E  | S7  | SE1/4 |

gradient, and availability of midstream loafing sites (0, 1-3, >3/10 m). Stream flow data for the Boulder River drainage was determined by measurements taken 5 September using the methods of Robbins and Crawford (1954). Seasonal (1990) and historic (1981-1990) stream flow data for the Boulder and Gallatin rivers was provided by the U.S. Geological Survey (Helena, MT). Gauging stations are located on the Boulder River at Big Timber and the Gallatin River at Gallatin Gateway. The Big Timber station does not provide actual stream flow for the Boulder River study area but should accurately reflect relative seasonal and yearly changes that occur at the study site. The Gallatin Gateway station provide actual stream flow data for much of the Gallatin River study area.

## RESULTS

Sections of 14 streams were surveyed in Gallatin and Custer National Forests during May - August 1990 (Figure 2). These streams represent all the potential harlequin duck breeding habitat in Gallatin National Forest, based on size and gradient requirements. A description of survey routes is given in Table 1.

A total of 18 harlequin ducks were observed on the Boulder River over three separate days. These probably represent 15 individual ducks. Harlequins were not observed on any other stream. Some sightings were reported in response to the posters but these were not relayed to the field investigator and have not been verified.

#### Harlequin Duck Observations

19 May (6:00pm): One pair was observed on the Boulder River (T6S R12E S9) 0.4 miles (odometer reading) upstream from the Hilleary bridge (Figure 3). The pair initially was seen from the road and appeared to be resting in the relatively calm water near the west shore, about 40 m downstream from a set of shallow riffles. As we approached for a closer view the pair quickly moved upstream with the female in the lead. Both ducks maintained a low profile with the head held low to the water. However, both needed the assistance of their wings to negotiate the riffles. Once beyond the riffles the pair relaxed but continued to move upstream. They disappeared from view after about five minutes of observation. Habitat: Meandering channel with smooth moderate current below a small section of shallow riffles. Both banks sloped gradually into the water (<45 degrees) and were forested, with only a light shrub understory which grew to be moderately dense (i.e. screening the river from the road) later in the season. No loafing sites were available. Distance from the road varied from 5 to 30 m.

6 June (11:30am): Five adult males were observed on the Boulder River (T6S R12E S9) 0.5 miles (odometer reading) upstream from the Hilleary bridge (Figure 3). This group of drakes was seen floating downstream with the main current, which was separated from a calm backwater area by a gravel bar. The main current was strong enough in this stretch to raise standing waves approximately 0.5 m high. The ducks appeared to be doing nothing more than traveling downstream. They quickly disappeared from view. Habitat: A straight reach in a generally meandering channel with a strong current stacked up in short standing waves. Both banks sloped gradually to the water. The west bank was forested with an understory of moderately dense shrubs; the east bank was an open gravel bar which separated the main channel from a backwater area. There were no loafing sites in this stretch. Distance to the road was 30-40 m.

6 June (11:30am): One pair was observed on the Boulder River (T6S R12E S9) 0.5 miles (odometer reading) upstream from the Hilleary Bridge (Figure 3). The pair was first seen in a backwater area along the east bank and was observed for 20 minutes. During the first 10 minutes the pair casually swam around in small circles, feeding by dipping the bill into the water, the hen more often than the drake. During this period the drake would approach the hen and peck at the back of her neck. Over the last 3-4 minutes of this time period the frequency and intensity of these pecks quickly increased, culminating with mounting which lasted 4 seconds. The hen then escaped but was

rapidly pursued by the drake. Within a matter of a few seconds their behavior calmed and the pair swam out to the gravel bar. They spent the next 10 minutes walking about along the shore and poking among the rocks with their bills, occasionally stopping to preen for a few seconds. They were still involved in this behavior when I left to continue the survey. This pair was seen about two hours later (2:00pm) resting on the same gravel bar. Habitat: Backwater area formed by a log jam and separated from the main channel by a gravel bar (see description above). The east bank sloped gently to the water and was forested, with an understory of dense shrubs. Because of the high water at this time of year, many of the bank shrubs were flooded, providing cover for the harlequins on the water. Midstream loafing sites consisted of a few partially submerged logs (1-3/10 m) and the large gravel bar. Distance from the road was 30-40 m.


6 June (4:00pm): A single adult hen was observed on the Boulder River (T6S R12E S3) about 400 m downstream from Fourmile Creek. The hen initially was sighted on a rock on the east bank of the river. She spent about 1 minute preening then entered the water and was observed feeding by diving for a couple minutes more before disappearing from view. Habitat: Meandering channel with a strong current broken into sections of whitewater by large subsurface rocks. The hen used an eddy along the east bank which was formed by a large boulder which jutted out into the current. The east bank was cut out and approached the water very steeply. Adjacent to the water it was composed of large rocks and



driftwood and above it was primarily bare soil. The west bank sloped gently to the water and was forested, with a dense understory of shrubs which made viewing difficult. No midstream loafing sites were present because of the high water level but there were abundant loafing sites along the east bank. Distance from the road was >200 m but a well-worn trail followed the west bank and an undeveloped campground was located on the west bank about 100 m upstream.

23 August (2:00pm): A group of 8 harlequins of undetermined age and sex was observed on the Boulder River (T6S R12E S12) about 500 m downstream from the Alpine Lodge (Figure 3). A short time previous to this observation a small group of harlequins was seen flying upstream from a point 1-2 km downstream from where the group of 8 was observed. It is believed that these were two observations of the same group. This group was observed for about 15 minutes as they slowly worked their way downstream, feeding along the way by bill dipping, head dipping, tipping-up, and diving. The type of feeding behavior appeared to be related to water depth. Individuals of the group occasionally would walk out on shore and preen for a few seconds before returning to the group. Group members never were separated by more than a total of 15 m. The group traveled about 100 m downstream before disappearing from view. Habitat: Meandering stream channel with a relatively gentle current broken by a short stretch of shallow riffles. Both banks sloped gently to the water and were forested. Shrub cover was moderately dense on both banks. There were no





midstream loafing sites but short gravel bars did occur at intervals along both banks. Distance from the road was about 200 m but a well-worn trail followed the east bank. This trail was screened from the river by the moderately dense growth of shrubs.

#### Boulder River Survey Area

The Boulder River was surveyed from Falls Creek campground to Hicks Park campground. Total distance covered was 26.06 km and elevation ranged from 1585 m (5200 ft) to 1932 m (6340 ft). This equated to a mean gradient of 1.3% or 0.6 degrees. The specific section of the river from which all harlequin duck observations came (Figure 3) ran from approximately 400 m downstream of Fourmile Creek to 300 m upstream of Clear Creek. The length of this section was 5.14 km and the elevation ranged from 1865 m (6120 ft) to 1914 m (6280 ft), for a mean gradient of 0.9% or 0.4 degrees. The channel meandered the entire length of the survey area with the exception of Hell's Canyon, which is a straight, narrow, bedrock canyon. This was the only section of the Boulder River survey in which both banks approached the water at >45 degrees angle. Flow volume, measured on 5 September, was 24.95 cms (81.86 cfs) which was the lowest flow during the survey period. Flow measurements were taken in the harlequin duck observation area (Figure 3). Stream flow data recored at the USGS gauging station in Big Timber is included in Table 2. Similar data from the Gallatin River are presented for comparison.

Historic stream flow values over the past 10 years also are presented for both rivers (Table 3). Water quality as determined by clarity remained high throughout the survey period although the water was slightly cloudy during the highest flows in May.

Table 2. Monthly average, maximum, and minimum stream discharge (cfs) for the Boulder and Gallatin rivers, May-Sept 1990 (USGS, Helena, MT).

|      |      | Boulder | Gallatin |
|------|------|---------|----------|
| May  | mean | 723     | 1498     |
|      | min  | 369     | 866      |
|      | max  | 1960    | 2740     |
| June | mean | 2206    | 2904     |
|      | min  | 953     | 1980     |
|      | max  | 4220    | 4800     |
| July | mean | 1227    | 1250     |
|      | min  | 327     | 730      |
|      | max  | 3490    | 2500     |
| Aug  | mean | 206     | 577      |
|      | min  | 119     | 471      |
|      | max  | 316     | 714      |
| Sept | mean | 119     | 418      |
|      | min  | 75      | 378      |
|      | max  | 194     | 484      |

#### Other Wildlife Observations

Dippers (Dolichonyx oryzivorus) were common on all streams with the exception of Taylor Creek. Common mergansers (Mergus merganser) were observed on the East Boulder, West Boulder, Stillwater, and Gallatin Rivers. No more than three common mergansers were seen on any one survey day with the exception of 21 August when a group of 25 and another group of 10 flightless

common mergansers were seen on the Gallatin River between Big Sky and Taylor Creek. Mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) and teal (Anas spp.) were seen in small numbers in quiet pools and backwater areas along the Boulder, West Boulder, and Stillwater rivers. Canada geese (Branta canadensis) were observed along calm sections of the West Boulder and Stillwater rivers.

---

Table 3. Average, minimum, and maximum stream discharge for the Boulder and Gallatin rivers for 1981-1990 (USGS, Helena, MT).

---

| year | mean <sup>a</sup> | Boulder<br>max(mo) <sup>b</sup> | min(mo) <sup>c</sup> | mean <sup>a</sup> | Gallatin<br>max(mo) <sup>b</sup> | min(mo) <sup>c</sup> |
|------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1981 | 532               | 2722(jun)                       | 76(sep)              | 860               | 3211(jun)                        | 466(sep)             |
| 1982 | 626               | 2916(jun)                       | 288(sep)             | *                 | *                                | *                    |
| 1983 | 543               | 2419(jun)                       | 221(sep)             | *                 | *                                | *                    |
| 1984 | 622               | 2628(jun)                       | 212(sep)             | *                 | *                                | *                    |
| 1985 | 383               | 1441(jun)                       | 172(aug)             | 717               | 2048(may)                        | 506(sep)             |
| 1986 | 471               | 2692(jun)                       | 110(aug)             | 884               | 3691(jun)                        | 522(sep)             |
| 1987 | 330               | 1152(may)                       | 111(sep)             | 587               | 1565(may)                        | 426(sep)             |
| 1988 | 393               | 2020(jun)                       | 22(sep)              | 655               | 2191(may)                        | 364(sep)             |
| 1989 | 455               | 2366(jun)                       | 111(sep)             | 672               | 2477(jun)                        | 401(sep)             |
| 1990 | 474               | 2206(jun)                       | 119(sep)             | 785               | 2904(jun)                        | 418(sep)             |

---

a- annual mean (all days), b- highest monthly mean for period May - Sept., c- lowest monthly mean for period May - Sept., \* - no data.

## DISCUSSION

The number of harlequin duck observations was too small to make meaningful comparisons or predictions of habitat use patterns. However, the observations that were made compare favorably with the results obtained from a study in GTNP (Wallen 1987). For example, no observations were made in locations where both stream banks approached the water at >45 degree angle or

where bank vegetation was open. The Boulder River also had a mean gradient <3 degrees, which Wallen (1987) considered a requirement of harlequin breeding habitat. However, all the streams surveyed during this study had a mean gradient in this range. Finally, the harlequin duck observation area (Figure 3) appeared to have suitable nesting habitat in terms of backwater areas and beaver ponds with dense perennial shrubs around the edges. However, these areas usually were within a few meters of a heavily used road. Public use along the river appeared to be moderate except in close proximity to the road and campgrounds. Wallen (1987) found that harlequin ducks, especially hens with broods, tended to avoid areas with much human activity.

The only streams surveyed that did not appear to offer suitable harlequin duck habitat were Mill, Taylor, Cabin, and Hyalite creeks. Mill Creek paralleled the road for most of its length, which forced the creek into a narrow rocky channel with steep banks. The only area that appeared suitable in terms of channel and gradient had open banks with little vegetation. Taylor Creek was at the lower limit of size and the upper limit of gradient and had little or no vegetation along the banks. This was the only stream surveyed where dippers were not observed. Both Cabin and Hyalite creeks probably were too small and steep for harlequin ducks, having channels confined to narrow canyons.

The West Boulder and Stillwater rivers were very similar in physiogamy with the exception of the Stillwater River's much greater size. Both had sections of calm open water flowing

through grass/sedge meadows interspersed with sections with narrow canyons and steep gradients. Suitable habitat did appear to occur but probably is limited in extent. The lower section of Beaver Creek appeared to provide all the features of suitable habitat but the upper half had wide gravel/rock banks which provided no cover along the stream.

The Gallatin River and West Rosebud Creek appeared to offer suitable habitat throughout much of their length but both suffered from heavy human use, particularly fishermen. This may have discouraged harlequins from using these areas. Squaw Creek also provided good habitat but may have been on the small side and also may have suffered some from high levels of human activity.

The East Boulder River was the best candidate for harlequin duck observations of all streams surveyed. It had all the habitat features identified by Wallen (1987) and was the only stream surveyed that did not have a road or trail running along its length. Grayling Creek also appeared to meet all the qualifications except possibly that of size.

## CONCLUSION

Because of their size, color (especially hens and young), and habitat preference, harlequin ducks are difficult to spot in the wild. The possibility of overlooking individuals during surveys must be considered to be quite high. Although I believe

that the survey effort as presented in this report was very thorough, this report does not provide positive proof for the absence of harlequin ducks in the streams examined. However, if populations exist on streams beside the Boulder River they are likely to be very small and transitory.

The Stillwater and Boulder drainages may be at the eastern edge of harlequin range in Montana. Because so little is known of the habitat requirements and limits of breeding harlequin ducks it is difficult to say with certainty that suitable habitat does exist in these areas in any great amount. Determining the habitat requirements of harlequin ducks continues to be the most pressing need for proper management of this species on its breeding grounds.

Additional survey work in this area will be dependent on the informational needs of the management agencies. Increasing human activity along streams and the potential for water quality degradation from a variety of sources are probably the main impacts facing harlequin duck habitat in southwestern Montana. Additional study on the biology and habitat needs of harlequin ducks would be more fruitful in areas with higher harlequin densities.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Ballrose, F.C. 1980. Ducks, geese, and swans of North America. Stackpole Books, Harrisburg, PA. 540pp.
- Bengston, S.A. 1966. Field studies of the harlequin duck in Iceland. Wildfowl Trust Ann. Rep. 17:79-94.



- Bengston, S.A. 1972. Breeding ecology of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) in Iceland. *Ornis Scand.* 3:1-19.
- Burleigh, T.D. 1972. Birds of Idaho. Caxton Printers, Ltd., Caldwell, ID.
- Cassirer, E.F. 1989. Distribution and status of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) on the Nez Perce National Forest, Idaho. Idaho Dep. Fish and Game, Boise. 13pp.
- Dzinbal, K.A. 1982. Ecology of harlequin ducks in Prince William Sound, Alaska, during summer. M.S. Thesis, Oregon St. Univ., Corvallis. 89pp.
- Johnsgard, P.A. 1973. Ducks, geese, and swans of the world. Univ. Nebraska Press, Lincoln. 404pp.
- Kuchal, C.R. 1977. Some aspects of the behavior and ecology of harlequin ducks breeding in Glacier National Park, Montana. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Montana, Missoula. 147pp.
- Robins, C.R. and R.W. Crawford. 1954. A short accurate method for estimating the volume of stream flow. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 18:366-369.
- Wallen, R.L. 1987. Habitat utilization of harlequin ducks in Grand teton National Park. M.S. Thesis, Montana St. Univ., Bozeman. 67pp.
- Wallen, R.L. and C.R. Groves. 1988. Status and distribution of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) in northern Idaho. Idaho Dep. Fish and Game, Boise. 34pp.
- Wallen, R.L. and C.R. Groves. 1989. Distribution, breeding biology, and nesting habitat of harlequin ducks (Histrionicus histrionicus) in northern Idaho. Idaho Dep. Fish and Game, Boise. 39pp.



*Cedron - I did not check these numbers - we will likely have to do that in mapping*


*alignment*

*alignment*

Table 1. Harlequin duck survey routes and dates, Gallatin and Custer National Forests. May - August 1990.

| STREAM       | DATES  | START              | END                |
|--------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Beaver       | 21 Jun | T11S R3E S21 N1/2  | T10S R3E S33 N1/2  |
|              | 8 Aug  | T11S R3E S21 S1/2  | T10S R3E S33 S1/2  |
| Boulder      | 19 May | T3S R12E S26 W1/2  | T6S R12E S21 SW1/4 |
|              | 6 Jun  | T4S R12E S15 NE1/4 | T4S R12E S36 SW1/4 |
|              |        | T6S R12E S4 SE1/4  | T6S R12E S21 SW1/4 |
|              | 16 Jul | T6S R12E S4 NE1/4  | T6S R12E S21 SW1/4 |
|              | 7 Aug  | T4S R12E S15 NE1/4 | T4S R12E S36 SW1/4 |
|              | 13 Aug | T5S R12E S35 SW1/4 | T6S R12E S4 NE1/4  |
|              | 23 Aug | T4S R12E S36 SW1/4 | T6S R12E S21 N1/2  |
| East Boulder | 19 May | T3S R13E S29 N1/2  | T3S R13E S32 SE1/4 |
|              |        | T4S R13E S2 W1/2   | T4S R13E S14 S1/2  |
|              | 25 Jul | T4S R13E S4 NE1/4  | T4S R13E S14 S1/2  |
|              | 13 Aug | T3S R13E S29 N1/2  | T4S R13E S4 NE1/4  |
| West Boulder | 28 May | T3S R11E S25 N1/2  | T4S R11E S16 SE1/4 |
|              | 2 Aug  | T3S R11E S36 NW1/4 | T4S R11E S28 SW1/4 |
| Cabin        | 21 Jun | T11S R3E S15 S1/2  | T11S R3E S11 S1/2  |
|              | 8 Aug  | T11S R3E S15 S1/2  | T11S R3E S11 S1/2  |
| Gallatin     | 23 May | T4S R3E S29 SE1/4  | T5S R4E S15 NW1/4  |
|              | 27 Jul | T4S R4E S29 SE1/4  | T5S R4E S33 SE1/4  |
|              | 30 Jul | T5S R4E S33 SE1/4  | T5S R4E S25 S1/2   |
|              | 1 Aug  | T6S R4E S13 NW1/4  | T6S R4E S32 SE1/4  |
|              | 21 Aug | T9S R4E S2 SW1/4   | T6S R4E S32 SE1/4  |
| Grayling     | 22 Jun | T12S R5E S10 W1/2  | T12S R5E S9 SW1/4  |
|              | 9 Aug  | T12S R5E S10 E1/2  | T12S R5E S9 SW1/4  |
| Hyalite      | 16 Jun | T3S R6E S32 SW1/4  | T4S R6E S9 NW1/4   |
|              | 19 Jun | T4S R6E S9 NW1/4   | T4S R6E S15 W1/2   |
| Madison      | 8 Aug  | T11S R3E S22 NE1/4 | T11S R3E S22 SW1/4 |
| Mill         | 21 May | T5S R9E S32 SE1/4  | T6S R10E S33 W1/2  |
|              | 14 Aug | T5S R9E S32 SE1/4  | T6S R10E S19 SW1/4 |
| West Rosebud | 14 Jun | T6S R17E S33 NW1/4 | T7S R16E S2 SW1/4  |
| Squaw        | 23 May | T5S R4E S1 NE1/4   | T5S R5E S6 W1/2    |
|              | 27 Jul | T4S R4E S33 SE1/4  | T5S R5E S6 W1/2    |

| STREAM     | DATES  | START              | END                |
|------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Stillwater | 12 Jun | T5S R15E S21 NE1/4 | T5S R15E S32 SW1/4 |
|            | 13 Jun | T5S R15E S32 SW1/4 | T6S R14E S35 SW1/4 |
|            | 29 Aug | T5S R15E S32 SW1/4 | T6S R14E S24 SW1/4 |
|            | 30 Aug | T6S R14E S24 SW1/4 | T7S R14E S10 SW1/4 |
| Taylor     | 9 Aug  | T9S R4E S2 SW1/4   | T9S R4E S7 SE1/4   |



MONTANA NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM  
ANIMAL SURVEY FORM

316  
6011.0558  
(2)

Location\* Boulder 5:30 Date 6 June 1990

Quad Name/Code Mount Douglas MT (NTN 560 33 X 5019 80) TRS\* 6S 12E S9

Species/Element\* Harlequin duck (*Histrionicus histrionicus*)

Area/Distance surveyed\* 1.5 km Time spent\* 1 hour

Weather partly cloudy

Temp - Water flow moderate - high Water temp -

Cover type -

Habitat description\* main current - swift moving water with small standing waves

Observations (numbers, sex, age, etc.)\* 5 adult males (11:30am) - group observed

for 1-2 minutes

Behavioral notes group of 5 males traveling downstream in swift current - the males

were alert but not actively feeding or swimming

General notes for next site visit -

Photo: Y - N X Specimen: Y - N X

Associated animal species -

Estimated total acres of potential habitat in area: -

Landowner USFS - Gallatin National Forest

Threats to EO increasing forest use

Site condition under riprap pit - 550' from road - river visible from road but partly

screened by trees & shrubs

Observer(s)\* Danell Marten

Address\* 209 E Tracy Bozeman MT 59715

MONTANA NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM  
ANIMAL SURVEY FORM

3

OK  
601059

Location\* Boulder River Date 6 June 1990

Quad Name/Code Mount Douglas MNT (UTM 56033 X 5019 80) TRS\* 10 S 12 E 59

Species/Element\* Harpagomys crassus (Harpagomys crassus)

Area/Distance surveyed\* approx 1.5 km Time spent\* 1 hour

Weather partly cloudy

Temp - Water flow moderate-high Water temp -

Cover type -

Habitat description\* backwater section with fallen logs & dead emergent shrubs

Observations (numbers, sex, age, etc.)\* 1 pair (11:30 am) - pair observed for 15-20 min

The same pair was observed later (1:00 pm) resting on the gravel bar which separated the backwater from the main channel.

Behavioral notes observed feeding, courtship/mating, and grooming - the pair did not notice the observer

General notes for next site visit -

Photo: Y - N X Specimen: Y - N X

Associated animal species -

Estimated total acres of potential habitat in area: -

Landowner USFS - Bitterroot National Forest

Threats to EO increasing fire risk

Site condition undisturbed but 500m from river - river visible from road but partly screened by trees and shrubs

Observer(s)\* James I. Macdonald

Address\* 201 E. Tracy Bozeman, MT 59715

MONTANA NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM  
ANIMAL SURVEY FORM

210 60.1.060 (4)

Location\* Boulder River Date 16 June 1990

Quad Name/Code MAVLE-TRNG 351 MT (UTM 560 744 5021 29) TRS\* 6 S 12 E 53

Species/Element\* Harlequin duck (Histrionicus histrionicus)

Area/Distance surveyed\* 3 km Time spent\* 2 hours

Weather overcast with intermittent rain

Temp — Water flow runoff - high Water temp —

Cover type —

Habitat description\* runoff swift moving section with steep rock bluffs on east

Observations (numbers, sex, age, etc.)\* 1 adult female (4:00 am) on rock on east side

of river

Behavioral notes perched for about 2 min on rock bluffs river - then entered river and

fed on debris for another 2-3 hrs before sun set from site

General notes for next site visit —

Photo: Y — N X Specimen: Y — N X

Associated animal species —

Estimated total acres of potential habitat in area: —

Landowner USFS - Cascade National Forest

Threats to EO increasing public use

Site condition well worn foot trail along west bank of river - observation point on

west bank about 100m upstream from observation point

Observer(s)\* Danell Marzani

Address\* 211 S. Tracy, Bozeman, MT 59715

MONTANA NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM  
ANIMAL SURVEY FORM

60164-3-10mi (5)  
TRs missed  
up.

Location\* Boulder River Date 23 August 1990

Quad Name/Code Mount Diablo, MT (T11N 59E 59W 48) TRS\* 65 DE S 12

Species/Element\* Harpagomys dilleri (Historical and Prehistoric)

Area/Distance surveyed\* approx 7 km Time spent\* 3.5 hours

Weather clear and sunny

Temp — Water flow low Water temp —

Cover type —

Habitat description\* moderate smooth current with occasional low riffles

Observations (numbers, sex, age, etc.)\* A harpogomys (300m upstream from site) - observed

for about 15 minutes and the group drifted out of sight

Behavioral notes moving slowly downstream feed on (by gill digging) food items

it going up and down river + feeding appeared to search for water bugs

General notes for next site visit —

Photo: Y — N X Specimen: Y — N X

Associated animal species both dipodomys & spotted pocket mice was observed in the

surrounding area of the river

Estimated total acres of potential habitat in area: —

Landowner USFS - Bitterroot National Forest

Threats to EO increasing public use

Site condition well worn footpath along east bank of river but river is covered by a

thick growth of alders and shrubs - road is about 200m away and traffic not

heard from this location

Observer(s)\* Garrett Johnson

Address\* 209 E. Tracy Boulevard, MT 59103